# SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Senator Nancy Skinner, Chair 2017 - 2018 Regular

**Bill No:** SB 1449 **Hearing Date:** April 3, 2018

**Author:** Leyva

**Version:** March 22, 2018

Urgency: No Fiscal: Yes

**Consultant:** MK

Subject: Rape Kits: Testing

**HISTORY** 

Source: Alameda County District Attorney

Joyful Heart Foundation Natasha's Justice Project

Prior Legislation: AB 1312 (Gonzalez Fletcher) Chapter 692, Stats. 2017

AB 1517 (Skinner) Chapter 874, Stats. 2014

AB 322 (Portantino) Vetoed 2011 AB 558 (Portantino) Vetoed 2010 AB 1017 (Portantino) Vetoed 2009 AB 898 (Chu) Chapter 537, Stats. 2003

Support: California District Attorneys Association; Crime Victims United; Fiona Ma, State

Board of Equalization Member; Los Angeles Professional Peace Officers

Association; San Diego County District Attorney

Opposition: None known

#### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this bill is to require a law enforcement agency to either submit sexual assault forensic evidence to a crime lab or ensure a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place and require a crime lab to either process the evidence or transmit the evidence to another crime lab for processing within existing specified time frames and to appropriate \$2,000,000 from the General Fund to the Department of Justice to allocate to local law enforcement agencies to assist them in complying with the requirement.

Existing law provides that notwithstanding any other limitation of the time described in this chapter, a criminal complaint may be filed within one year of the date on which the identity of the suspect is conclusively established by DNA if specified conditions are met. (Penal Code § 803(g))

Existing law encourages DNA analysis of rape kits within the statute of limitations, which states that a criminal complaint must be filed within one year after the identification of the suspect by DNA evidence, and that DNA evidence must be analyzed within two years of the offense for which it was collected. (Penal Code § 680 (b)(6).)

SB 1449 (Leyva ) Page 2 of 6

Existing law encourages law enforcement agencies to submit rape kits to crime labs within 20 days after the kit is booked into evidence. (Penal Code § 680 (b)(7)(A)(i).)

Existing law encourages the establishment of rapid turnaround DNA programs, where the rape kit is sent directly from the facility where it was collected to the lab for testing within five days. (Penal Code § 680 (b)(7)(A)(ii) and (E).)

Existing law encourages crime labs to do one of the following:

- Process rape kits, create DNA profiles when possible, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS within 120 days of receipt of the rape kit; or
- Transmit the rape kit to another crime lab within 30 days to create a DNA profile, and then upload the profile into CODIS within 30 days of being notified about the presence of DNA. (Penal Code§ 680 (b)(7)(B).)

Existing law provides that upon the request of a sexual assault victim, the law enforcement agency investigation a specified sex offense shall inform the victim of the status of the DNA testing of the rape kit evidence or other crime scene evidence form the victim's case. (Penal Code §680(c)(1))

Existing law establishes the Sexual Assault Victims' DNA Bill of Rights which provides victims of sexual assault with the following rights:

- The right to be informed whether or not a DNA profile of the assailant was obtained from the testing of the rape kit evidence or other crime scene evidence from their case;
- The right to be informed whether or not the DNA profile of the assailant developed from the rape kit evidence or other crime scene evidence has been entered into the Department of Justice (DOJ) Data Bank of case evidence; and,
- The right to be informed whether or not there is a match between the DNA profile of the assailant developed from the rape kit evidence or other crime scene evidence and a DNA profile contained in the DOJ Convicted Offender DNA Data Base, provided that disclosure would not impede or compromise an ongoing investigation. (Penal Code, § 680 (c)(2).)

Existing law requires law enforcement agencies to inform victims in writing if they intend to destroy a rape kit 60 days prior to the destruction of the rape kit, when the case is unsolved and the statute of limitations has not run out. (Penal Code §§ 680 (e) and (f), 803.)

Existing law provides that in order to ensure that sexual assault forensic evidence is analyzed within the two year time frame required and to ensure the longest possible statute of limitations for sex offenses the following should occur:

• A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a specified sex offense occurred should do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:

SB 1449 (Leyva ) Page 3 of 6

o Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after it is booked into evidence.

- Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place to submit forensic evidence collected form the victim of a sexual assault directly from the medical facility where the victim is examined to the crime lab within five days after the evidence is obtained from the victim.
- The crime lab should do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the crime lab on or after January 1, 2016.
  - Process sexual assault forensic evidence, create DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initially receiving the evidence.
  - Transmit the sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initially receiving the evidence, for processing of the evidence for the presence of DNA. If a DNA profile is created, the transmitting crime lab should upload the profile into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified.
- This subdivision does not require a lab to test all items of forensic evidence obtained in a sexual assault forensic evidence examination.
- This section does not require a DNA profile to be uploaded into CODIS if it does not meet the federal guidelines.
- A rapid turnaround DNA program is a program for training of sexual assault team personnel in the selection of a representative samples of forensic evidence from the victim to be the best evidence based on the medical evaluation and patient history, the collection and preservation of that evidence, and the transfer of the evidence directly from the medical facility to the crime lab, which is adopted pursuant to a written agreement between the law enforcement agency, the crime lab, and the medical facility where the sexual assault team is based. (Penal Code § 680 (b)(7))

This bill provides instead that in order to ensure that sexual assault forensic evidence is analyzed within the two year time frame required and to ensure the longest possible statute of limitations for sex offenses the following *shall* occur:

- A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a specified sex offense occurred *shall* do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:
  - o Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after it is booked into evidence.
  - o Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place to submit forensic evidence collected form the victim of a sexual assault directly from the medical

SB 1449 (Leyva ) Page 4 of 6

facility where the victim is examined to the crime lab within five days after the evidence is obtained from the victim.

- The crime lab *shall* do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the crime lab on or after January 1, 2016.
  - Process sexual assault forensic evidence, create DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initially receiving the evidence.
  - o Transmit the sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initially receiving the evidence, for processing of the evidence for the presence of DNA. If a DNA profile is created, the transmitting crime lab *shall* upload the profile into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified.

This bill provides that the sum of two million dollars is hereby appropriated form the General Fund to the Department of Justice to allocate to local law enforcement agencies to assist them with complying with Penal Code Section 680.

#### **COMMENTS**

## 1. Need for This Bill

According to the author:

Following a sexual assault, survivors in California may elect to undergo a forensic medical examination to collect evidence in a rape kit. If the survivor chooses to report the crime, the law enforcement agency with jurisdiction over the offense will take the kit into custody and submit it to a forensic laboratory for DNA analysis.

To maximize evidentiary value and standardize investigation of sexual assault crimes, federal best practices issued by the United States Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Assistance recommend that all rape kits connected to reported crimes be swiftly submitted to laboratories and tested for DNA. Testing DNA evidence in rape kits can identify an unknown assailant, link crimes together, identify serial perpetrators, and exonerate the wrongfully convicted. As amended by Chapter 874, Statutes of 2014, California law states that law enforcement agencies "should" transfer rape kit evidence to the appropriate forensic laboratory within 20 days and that laboratories "should" process such evidence as soon as possible, but no later than 120 days, following the receipt. Due to the current language of the law, this guidance is not currently being followed by a number of law enforcement agencies in the state.

Findings from public records requests filed by the Joyful Heart Foundation demonstrate significant variation in how law enforcement agencies have interpreted and implemented this legislative guidance. Only two jurisdictions of eight surveyed in 2017 reported full compliance with the intent of the law.

SB 1449 (Leyva ) Page 5 of 6

Across California, sexual assault survivors are not receiving equal access to justice. Depending on the jurisdiction in which the crime occurred, the timeframe for submission and analysis of their rape kits may vary widely, slowing the criminal justice process.

By amending the language of Penal Code Section 680 from "should" to "shall," Senate Bill 1449 will require all law enforcement agencies and crime labs across the state to follow federal best practices and the intent of existing law. With this change, victims reporting sexual assault across California will have equal access to the swift submission and analysis of forensic evidence associated with their cases. Rape kits must be submitted within 20 days and tested no later than 120 days after the receipt, preventing the development of rape kit backlogs in evidence rooms or laboratories throughout California.

### 2. Should to Shall

California established the Sexual Assault Victims' Bill of Rights in 2003. (AB 898 (Chu), Chapter 537, Statutes of 2003.) In passing that law, the Legislature found and declared that "[I]aw enforcement agencies have an obligation to victims of sexual assaults in the proper handling, retention and timely DNA testing of rape kit evidence or other crime scene evidence and to be responsive to victims concerning the developments of forensic testing and the investigation of their cases." Upon the request of the survivor, law enforcement agencies investigating the sexual assault may inform the survivor of the status of the DNA testing. Specifically, the California DNA Bill of Rights provides that subject to sufficient resources to respond to requests, survivors have a right to be informed whether or not the assailant's DNA profile was developed from the rape kit evidence, whether or not that profile was uploaded to the DNA database and whether or not a hit resulted from the upload.

The Sexual Assault Victims' Bill of Rights was amended by AB 1517 (Skinner), Chapter 874, Statutes of 2014. Those amendments encouraged law enforcement and crime labs to handle and process sexual assault forensic evidence within specific time frame.

Specifically, existing law provides that law enforcement agencies should either submit sexual assault forensic evidence to a crime lab within 20 days after it is booked into or evidence or insure that rapid turnaround DNA program in in place. This bill would provide instead that law enforcement shall take one of these actions.

Existing law also encourages a crime lab that receives sexual assault forensic evidence to either process the evidence, create DNA profiles and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS or transmit the sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab as soon as practically possible but no later than 30 days after receiving the evidence. This bill instead provides that these actions shall be taken.

What is the real impact of changing should to shall? If entities are not currently able to do cases in these time frames, or prioritize other DNA cases over some rape kits, such as those where the perpetrator is known, will changing should to shall result in cases being done more quickly?

SB 1449 (Leyva ) Page 6 of 6

## 3. 2 Million General Fund Dollars

This bill provides that the sum of \$2,000,000 is appropriated to the General Fund to the Department of Justice to allocate to local law enforcement agencies to assist them with complying with the rape kit testing requirements.

Should this more appropriately be done in the Budget? Is the Attorney General the appropriate entity to be distributing the money? Would Office of Emergency Services (OES) or Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC), who routinely deal with grants be more appropriate for distributing these funds?