ROBERT DUTTON Vice Chair

ELAINE ALQUIST ROY ASHBURN DAVE COGDILL MARK DESAULNIER TOM HARMAN ROBERT HUFF MARK LENO CAROL LIU ALAN LOWENTHAL GLORIA NEGRETE MCLEOD ALEX PADILLA S. JOSEPH SIMITIAN RODERICK WRIGHT



California State Senate

COMMITTEE ON BUDGET AND FISCAL REVIEW

ROOM 5019, STATE CAPITOL SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

SENATOR DENISE MORENO DUCHENY CHAIR

STAFF DIRECTOR KEELY MARTIN BOSLER

DEPUTY STAFF DIRECTOR BRIAN ANNIS

> CONSULTANTS BRIAN BROWN KIM CONNOR BRYAN EHLERS KRIS KUZMICH JENNIFER TROIA DIANE VAN MAREN SEIJA VIRTANEN

COMMITTEE ASSISTANT GLENDA HIGGINS

> (916) 651-4103 FAX (916) 323-8386

Agenda May 25, 2010 Room 4203 9:30 a.m.

HUMAN SERVICES AND CHILDCARE

4200	Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs Elimination of Drug Medi-Cal Services, with the Exceptions of Perinatal and Minor Consent Programs Elimination of Funding for Offender Treatment Program	
5180	Department of Social Services	
	Elimination of CalWORKs	3
	CalWORKs Grant Reduction	4
	Elimination of CalWORKs Recent Noncitizen Entrants Program (RNE)	5

Page

5180	Department of Social Services					
6110	California Department of Education – Child Care Issues only					
	Eliminate General Fund for Child Care Programs and Shift CalWORKs Child Care to the					
	Alternative Payment Program (Issue 326) Regional Market Rate (RMR) Reduction					
	Reduce Income Eligibility (Issue 323)	8				
	CalWORKs Stage 3 Reduction (Issue 323)					
	Adjust CalWORKs Child Care Caseload Funding (Issue 325)	10				
	Negative COLA					
	Proposed Plan to Recover Improper Payments from Child Care Programs (Issue 329)					
5180	Department of Social Services (continued)					
	In-Home Supportive Services "Cost Containment"	13				
	SSI/SSP Grant Reduction					
	Elimination of CA Food Assistance Program and Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants	15				
	Redirection of County Savings					
	Shift of County Mental Health Realignment Funds to Child Welfare Services and to Food Stamp Admin					
4440	Department of Mental Health					
	Special Education Mental Health Services (AB 3632)	18				
	RESOURCES AND TRANSPORTATION					
3790	Department of Parks and Recreation Funding State Parks	19				
2((0)						
2660	Department of Transportation	20				
	Defer Transportation Loan Repayment					
	New Transportation Loan from net new "Fuel Swap" revenue	21				
2740	Department of Motor Vehicles					
	Motor Vehicle Account Loan to General Fund	22				

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
Elimination of Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Services, with the Exceptions of Perinatal and Minor Consent Programs	-53,400	Impacts. ADP estimates that the two DMC modalities proposed for elimination, the Narcotic Treatment Program (NTP) and the Outpatient Drug Free Program (ODF) would otherwise serve approximately 226,000
The May Revision proposes, effective October 1,		individuals in 2010-11.
2010, to eliminate DMC services for approximately 79 percent of individuals who would otherwise receive them.		NTP generally uses methadone to stabilize and rehabilitate persons who are opiate-dependent. Services include intake, treatment planning, medical direction,
ADP estimates that the state will receive \$258.8 million (\$238.2 million for local assistance and \$18.6		body specimen screening, physician and nursing services related to substance abuse, medical
million for state operations) in federal Substance		psychotherapy, individual and/or group counseling, and
Abuse and Prevention Treatment (SAPT) block grant funding in 2010-11. Correspondingly, the federal		physical examinations and laboratory tests. ODF offers many similar treatment and supportive services to
government requires the state to spend \$247.4		persons with substance abuse diagnoses. Perinatal
million to meet its Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement. The May Revision proposes \$149.2 million GF, which falls \$98.2 million short of the MOE.		DMC services that would remain offer treatment prior to, and for only two to three months after, child birthing.
(<i>Note</i> : These figures could change if the enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage [FMAP] available under ARRA is not extended through 2010-11.) ADP will request a waiver from the federal		This proposal would likely result in other significant state costs that the May Revision does not account for (e.g., in corrections and child welfare). Advocates and providers also indicate that it would cause major closures in the
government for any enacted MOE shortfall. If no waiver is granted, the state may lose one dollar of federal funding for every state dollar below the MOE.		provider network, making it very difficult to reinitiate services (including when federal health reforms begin to take effect).

Item 4200------ Page 1

4200 Department of Alcohol & Drug Programs (ADP)		
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
limination of Funding for Offender Treatment rogram (OTP) he Governor's budget proposes to eliminate all GF or OTP, effective July 1, 2010. Any resulting loss of aderal funds is again tied to the state's overall SAPT IOE (see previous issue). he 2009-10 budget included \$18 million GF, plus 45 million in one-time federal Edward Byrne lemorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne-JAG) ands for OTP. Counties have until April 2011 to expend those Byrne-JAG funds.	-18,000	 Relationship to Proposition 36. In recent years prior to 2009-10, the state funded diversion programs for drug offenders through the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA or Proposition 36) and OTP. In 2009-10, funding for Proposition 36 was eliminated. The Governor's 2010-11 budget continues to provide no funding. The underlying laws sentencing certain offenders who use or possess drugs to treatment and community supervision rather than prison or jail are still in effect. According to a survey by the County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrators Association, far fewer individuals statewide are now receiving treatment than in previous years, individuals are receiving lower levels of care, and th wait to receive treatment is significantly longer. OTP was established in 2006 to serve the same individuals as Proposition 36; but to be eligible for OTP funding, counties have to provide \$1 for each \$9 of state funding received and meet requirements for dedicated court calendars and drug courts that accept felony defendants. Prior to elimination of SACPA funds, the University of California, Los Angeles conducted cost-benefit studies on the program. The most recent study concluded that \$1 of Proposition 36 spending resulted in net savings to state an local governments of \$2 to \$4. More than 30,000 offenders annually entered treatment under Proposition 36.

Item 4200------ Page 2

5180 Department of Social Service Governor's Proposal	es 2010-11	Comments
	(\$ in thousands)	
Elimination of CalWORKs	-1,207,776	families with children since 1911. No other state has
The May Revise proposes to eliminate CalWORKs,		eliminated its TANF program.
effective October 1, 2010. If CalWORKs is eliminated, California would refuse \$2.8 billion of its Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) block grant (growing to \$3.7 billion annually) and would be ineligible for approximately \$386.6 million (out of \$498.2 million total) in ARRA's Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) that the state would otherwise receive for basic assistance costs.	would eliminate benefits to 580,000 familie more than one million children) who receiv cash aid, as well as education, training, ch employment assistance. Dramatic increas and homelessness and costs in other stat services (e.g., child welfare, foster care, a	Impacts on Families and the Economy . The propose would eliminate benefits to 580,000 families (including more than one million children) who receive temporary cash aid, as well as education, training, child care, and employment assistance. Dramatic increases in poverty and homelessness and costs in other state and local services (e.g., child welfare, foster care, and education programs) would likely result. Counties have previously
Estimated savings are net of \$306.6 million GF backfills for non-CalWORKs programs that currently benefit from TANF funding. Estimates also assume the extension of ECF through the		estimated potential overall costs of \$1.9 billion if all former CalWORKs recipients became eligible for local General Assistance programs (which generally have significantly lower grants and lack employment services
state's 2010-11 fiscal year (which was included in President Obama's proposed budget). Without such an extension, savings would grow to \$1.6 billion. Finally, estimated savings are based on current law governing the CalWORKs programs (i.e., do not include other Governor's budget proposals).		Counties have estimated a loss of roughly 140,000 private and public sector jobs statewide if CalWORKs eliminated. In addition, local economies would lose spending by low-income CalWORKs families (who expend a greater share of their earnings locally than de higher income residents), child care providers, and employment services workers.

5180 Department of Social Service	es	
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
CalWORKs Grant Reduction	-81,500	Impacts. CalWORKs provides temporary cash
		assistance, education, training, and employment
The Governor's budget, as updated in the May		programs to families who are unable to meet basic needs
Revise, proposes to reduce maximum CalWORKs		(shelter, food, clothing) on their own. This proposal
grants by 15.7 percent, effective October 1, 2010.		would impact 580,000 families (including more than one million children). In 8,400 cases, families would lose all
Grants are funded with a combination of TANF		CalWORKs assistance.
(federal block grant)/state Maintenance of Effort		
(MOE) and County Funds (2.5 percent).		The average monthly grant for a family of three in high- cost counties would be reduced from \$694 to \$585. The
ARRA Impacts. GF savings in 2010-11 vary by		monthly grant was also \$694 twenty years ago in 1989.
whether the federal government extends ARRA's		After adjusting for housing costs, the current CalWORKs
TANF Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) past		grant level is lower than grants in 20 other states.
September 30, 2010. Under ECF, the state receives		
a 4:1 match.		Under this proposal, maximum CalWORKs and food
		stamp grants would equal 73 percent of the Federal
If ECF is extended, this proposal results in \$81.5		Poverty Level (FPL) in high-cost and 71 percent in low-
million GF savings and the foregoing of \$324.7		cost counties (compared to 78 percent and 77 percent
million federal funds in 2010-11. If ECF is not		currently).
extended, GF savings from this proposal increase to \$406.2 million in 2010-11 (with \$157.4 million in		Work Participation Rate (WPR). DSS estimates that
TANF transfers to offset GF in the Student Aid		this proposal will result in a 2.4 percent loss of our 2011
Commission and Department of Developmental		federal WPR. Our 2008 WPR was 25.1 percent
• •		(compared with the required 29.0 percent).
Services (DDS)).		(compared with the required 29.0 percent).

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
Elimination of CalWORKs Recent Noncitizen Entrants Program (RNE) The Governor's budget, as updated in the May Revise, proposes to eliminate RNE, effective October 1, 2010.	-16,200	Eligibility for RNE. Since 1996, the federal government has excluded most legal immigrants entering the United States from receiving TANF benefits for their first five years in the country. Exemptions exist for certain immigrants, including refugees, veterans, and asylees.
Funding for the RNE Program is countable toward the state's TANF MOE requirement. ARRA. GF savings in 2010-11 again vary by whether the federal government extends the ARRA Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF). If ECF is extended, this proposal would result in \$16.2 million GF savings, and a loss of \$24.5 million federal funds in 2010-11. If ECF is not extended, the proposal instead results in \$40.6 million GF savings in 2010-11.		California has continued to aid noncitizens who became federally ineligible, including legal permanent residents, battered noncitizens, individuals permanently residing under color of law, conditional entrants, and immigration parolees (defined differently than in criminal justice contexts, this can mean a humanitarian visa, re-entering the US with permission, or release of an inmate). Impacts. Approximately 22,500 individuals would lose temporary cash assistance and education, training,
These savings estimates assume the impact of the proposed 15.7 percent grant cut.		and employment services. Some may apply for and receive a lower amount of assistance from county-funded General Assistance (GA). Counties also project that increased child welfare and foster care costs could result.

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
5180-001-0001 Department of Social Service	ces	
6110-196-0001 California Department of Ec	lucation	
Eliminate General Fund for Child Care Programs and Shift CalWORKs Child Care to the Alternative Payment Program (Issue 326)	-\$1,193,656 in Prop 98 for Stage 2 and 3	 Total number of children losing child care under the Governor's proposal is about 225,000, compared to 2009-10. Stage 1: 51,236
The Governor proposes to eliminate General Fund from all subsidized child care programs with the exception of the State Preschool Program, effective July 1, 2010.	-\$492,700 in for Stage 1	 Stage 2: 61,342 Stage 3: 38,165 General Child Care: 72,481
Stage 1 would be eliminated on October 1, 2010.	\$414,270 from Stage 2	Only 77,550 child care slots would remain, all AP (not including preschool, which has 117,000 slots).
The Governor proposes to shift funding for CalWORKs child care into the Alternative Payment (AP) Program. The shift would include the federal funds in CalWORKs child care, including \$414.3 million from Stage 2 and \$272.3 million from Stage 3. Approximately \$594 million in federal funds would remain available for slots for the neediest families, after the Governor's other child care proposals are taken into account.	to AP in federal funds \$272,309 from Stage 3 to AP in federal funds	The \$492.7 million for Stage 1 child care includes GF and federal funds that are considered GF-fungible because of the block grant and Maintenance of Effort funding structure of the CalWORKs program. This total also includes the impact of a temporary \$215.3 million reduction (the majority of a \$376.8 million cur to the counties' single allocation for CalWORKs child care and employment services) enacted as part of the 2009-10 budget.

6110 California Department of Ed		
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
Regional Market Rate (RMR) Reduction. The Governor's budget proposes to reduce, effective July 1, 2010, the level at which the state reimburses child care providers. The trailer bill would reduce the regional market rate ceiling from 85 percent to 75 percent of the 2005 RMR survey for voucher-based programs. As a result, licensed providers would be reimbursed at no more than the 75th percentile of the 2005 RMR, instead of the current ceiling of the 85th percentile. The trailer bill would also reduce the reimbursement rate for license-exempt providers from 90 percent of the ceilings for licensed providers to 70 percent.	-\$41,110 from Stage 1 -\$54,725 in Prop 98 General Fund from Stage 2 & 3, and AP Total: - \$95,835 \$36,078	California offers subsidized child care to parents participating in CalWORKs (Stage 1); families transitioning off of aid (Stages 2 and 3); and others with exceptional need. DSS administers Stage 1; CDE administers Stages 2 and 3. Context. The Governor insists that no children would lose child care services as a result of this proposal. However, child care providers can only shrink their income so much before the payments are not covering their costs. There are over 64,000 child care providers who provide care for Stage 2 and Stage 3 children. Impact. Specific rate reductions would vary by provider and region. As an example, maximum rates for a preschool-age child in Los Angeles County would
The \$77.1 million General Fund savings is comprised of: \$12 million from Alternative Payment programs, \$37 million from CalWORKs Stage 2, and \$28.1 million from CalWORKs Stage 3.	added back in due to erosion	drop from \$744 to \$660 (or 11 percent) monthly for a child care center and \$615 to \$445 for a license-exempt provider.Stakeholders have historically testified that rate
Since the RMR reduction was not adopted during the Special Session, there has been an erosion of savings of \$36 million (\$13 million from Stage 1).		reductions would make it very difficult for providers to stay in business or continue to accept clients receiving subsidies, and thus for parents to access child care.

Item 6110----- Page 7

6110 California Department of Ed	ucation	
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
6110-196-0001 California Department of Edu	ucation	
Reduce Income Eligibility (Issue 323)	-\$54,373 General	Reducing income eligibility would make about 14,855 children currently receiving services ineligible.
The Governor proposes to reduce the statutory income eligibility limit from 75 percent of the state median family income (SMI) to 60 percent for all subsidized child care programs except for the State	Fund	• • •

Preschool Program.

6110 California Department of Ed	ucation	
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
6110-196-0001 California Department of Ed	ucation	
CalWORKS Stage 3 Reduction (Issue 323)	-\$123,000	The revised Stage 3 targeted reduction would push out 12,583 children currently receiving services.
The Governor's Special Session proposal was to reduce CalWORKs Stage 3 caseload by 18,000	\$31,998	
children, for a savings of \$123 million.	Total: -\$91,002	
The Governor's May Revise proposes to backfill that reduction by \$31.9 million, for a restoration of 5,417 children.	from Prop 98 funds	

Item 6110 Page	9
----------------	---

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
6110-196-0001 California Department of Ed	lucation	
Adjust CalWORKs Child Care Caseload	-\$13,800	The Jan 10 funding reduction breaks down as follows
Funding (Issue 325)	from Prop	• Stage 2: -\$12.6 million
	98 funds	• Stage 3: -\$1.2 million
The Governor's Budget reduced CalWORKs Stage		
2 and Stage 3 by \$13.8 million due to decreased	-\$35,356	The MR funding reduction breaks down as follows:
case load.	from Prop	• Stage 2: -\$17.7 million
	98 funds	• Stage 3: -\$17.65 million
The Governor's May Revise proposes to further		
lower funding for CalWORKs Stage 2 and Stage 3	Total:	
due to decreased case load.	-\$49,156	

6110	5110 California Department of Education		
Go	vernor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
6110-196-0001	California Department of	Education	
Negative COLA			Child care providers have not received a positive COLA in the last two years.

The Governor's January Budget proposed a -0.38 percent COLA for child care providers.

The May Revise amends the COLA to -0.39 percent.

98 funds

ltem 6110 Pa	ige	11	
--------------	-----	----	--

	ernor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
6110-196-0001	California Department of Edu	ucation	
-	ecover Improper Payments rograms (Issue 329)	Trailer Bill Language	The Governor assumes no savings for the budget from this proposal.
would provide for co	ses trailer bill language that ontractual expectations for APs of errors in child care payments, hyments from families that ors.	\$0	The families receiving child care would have to pay for the overpayments resulting from errors "regardless of whether the overpayments are due to provider or recipient error or whether the error is intentional or inadvertent in nature."
-	Education would have to report n the implementation of the ry plan.		

5180 Department of Social Services				
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments		
IHSS "Cost Containment" The May Revise proposes a \$637.1 million GF reduction (growing to \$750 million annually in 2011-12) to the IHSS program. Absent this reduction, the total proposed budget for IHSS would be \$5.6 billion (\$1.4 billion GF). The proposal would result in an approximately 45 percent reduction in state IHSS costs and the foregoing of roughly \$1.1 billion in federal matching funds. The Administration intends to develop as yet unspecified plans for this "cost containment" in consultation with stakeholders and in time for a July 1, 2010 enactment. This proposal replaces proposals in the Governor's budget to eliminate IHSS services for approximately 87 percent of recipients and reduce the state's participation in IHSS providers' wages to the minimum wage of \$8.00 per hour, plus \$.60 per hour for benefits.	-637,100	 Impacts. About 385,000 IHSS providers provide inhome care services (e.g., bathing, grooming, paramedical services) to about 466,000 qualified individuals who are blind, aged (over 65), or who have disabilities. IHSS recipients usually have incomes at or below the SSI/SSP grant level (\$845 per month for an individual as of October 2009) and assets, except homes or cars, worth less than \$2,000. County social workers determine eligibility after conducting in-home assessments. No details regarding the impacts of the proposed reductions on recipients, providers, county and state staff, or other areas of the budget are available. 2009-10 Reductions. The 2009-10 budget made major program integrity-related changes to IHSS, which DSS anticipates will result in \$130 million GF savings in 2010-11. In addition, the 2009-10 budget: 1) reduced the ceiling for state participation in IHSS providers' wages from \$12.10 per hour to a total of \$10.10 per hour, 2) eliminated eligibility for specified domestic and related services to about 85,000 individuals, and 3) eliminated eligibility for all services to about 39,000 individuals. The Administration is appealing federal court injunctions against these reductions to the 9th Circuit. 		

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
SSI/SSP Grant Reduction The Governor's budget, as updated in the May	-132,830	The federal MOE limits reductions states can make to SSP benefit levels. If a state reduces SSP benefits below its MOE, it loses all federal Medicaid funding.
Revise, proposes to reduce, effective October 1, 2010, Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) grants to ndividual recipients. The proposed SSP grant would be set at the federally required Maintenance of Effort (MOE) level of the 1983 payment standard.		Impact. Maximum grants for around one million aged, blind or disabled individual SSI/SSP recipients would be reduced from \$845 to \$830 monthly (92 percent of Federal Poverty Level (FPL)). 8,449 recipients would become ineligible, some of whom may seek services from DDS.
Savings include those resulting from grant reductions in the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants and California Veterans Cash Benefit, as these grant evels tie to those for SSI/SSP. Approximately 109,000 Non-medical Out-of-Home Care, Restaurant Meal Allowance, and Title XIX Medical Facilities recipients are excluded from this eduction, as is traditional practice.		Recent changes. In the February 2009 special session a 2009 federal cost-of-living adjustment was rescinded effective May 1, 2009, and grants were reduced 2.3 percent (approximately \$20 for individuals and \$35 for couples) effective July 1, 2009. Grants were further reduced, effective October 1, 2009, by around \$5 for individuals and \$82 for couples. Couples' maximum grants of \$1,407 per month are now at the MOE floor (around 116 percent of FPL).
		Cost-of-Living Adjustments (COLAs). The SSI portion of grants will not receive a 2010 federal COLA. An estimated 2 percent federal COLA will, however, take effect in January, 2011.

5180 D	epartment of Social Service	es	
Gover	nor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
	et, as updated in the May	CFAP -42,800	CFAP and CAPI are state-funded programs that provide benefits to legal immigrants who do not qualify for federa food stamps and SSI/SSP funding, respectively.
Revise, proposes to eliminate the California Food Assistance Program (CFAP) and Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI), effective October 1, 2010.	CAPI -73,640	5 5	
			CFAP Impact. CFAP provides food benefits to legal non-citizens over 18 and under 65 years of age. DSS estimates the average monthly number of 2009-10 recipients as 32,900 (12,800 households). The average monthly benefit is \$113 per person.
			CAPI Impact. CAPI benefits are the equivalent of SSI/SSP program benefits, less \$10 per individual and \$20 per couple. The average monthly number of CAPI recipients in 2009-10 is 9,029. Some CAPI recipients may become eligible for GA.

5180 Department of Social Services			
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments	
Redirection of County Savings The Governor's budget, as updated in the May Revise, proposes, effective October 1, 2010, to redirect county savings (i.e. funds the counties would no longer be obligated to spend for the specified purposes) from the above described IHSS and CalWORKs reductions, as well as the presumed extension of federal financial participation in social services programs under ARRA, to support a higher county share of costs for Foster Care, Foster Care Administration, and the Adoption Assistance Program (AAP). GF savings are from a resulting reduction in the state's share of costs in those programs.	-359,500	 Comparison of sharing ratios. Nonfederal costs would become: Foster Care – 20/80 state/county (instead of 40/60) Foster Care Administration – 48/52 state/county (instead of 70/30) AAP – 20/80 state/county (instead of 75/25) Interaction with Prior Realignment. In 1991, the state realigned control and funding of several social services programs to local governments. Revenue from sales taxes and vehicle license fees was dedicated to the programs. This base funding has not, however, kept pace with costs. The 1991 statutes include a "poison pill" that makes realignment inoperative if it results in a reimbursable mandate of more than \$1 million. 	
		Mandate Questions. Mandate laws include an exception for when local costs are fully offset by other savings. However, according to the LAO, it is very infrequently used and not well-established.	
		The LAO also states that it is difficult to determine whether	

the Governor's proposal would create a new reimbursable state mandate on counties. The caseload for each affected

program varies significantly by county, so individual county's savings and costs may not balance out. In

addition, the amounts would vary each year.

5180 Department of Social Services				
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments		
Shift of County Mental Health Realignment Funds to Child Welfare Services (CWS) an Food Stamp Administration.		This issue will be discussed during the upcoming Health hearing. DSS budget impacts will conform to actions taken during that hearing.		
The May Revise proposes to shift \$602 million existing Realignment funding used for mental health programs to offset GF costs for CWS a for food stamp administration by changing the sharing ratios for their non-federal costs.	and			
The new sharing ratios would be 20/80 state/county for CWS <i>(instead of 70/30)</i> and 1 state/county for food stamp administration <i>(into of 70/30)</i> .				

4440	1440 Department of Mental Health		
	Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments

4440 Department of Mental Health

Special Education Mental Health Services (AB 3632)

The Governor's January budget proposed \$52 million in state General Funds for special education mental health services – referred to as AB 3632 services – in 2010-11.

The Governor's May Revise proposes to "suspend" the state AB 3632 mandate and to eliminate the \$52 million, leaving no categorical funding in the Department of Mental Health (DMH) for AB 3632 services in 2010-11. In addition, the Governor does not propose to fund an additional \$79.4 million in the Commission on State Mandates budget item, as a result of this suspension.

The Governor continues at least \$77.7 million in General Funds for the Department of Social Services to cover AB 3632 residential placement costs in 2010-11. The Governor also continues \$69 million in federal special education funds for county AB 3632 services in the Department of Education (CDE) budget in 2010-11. -52,000 Federal special education law -- first enacted in 1976 -requires states to provide special education and "related services" for pupils with disabilities to ensure the provision of a free and appropriate public education. AB 3632 – enacted in 1984 – shifted responsibility for mental health related services from the schools (CDE) to the counties (DMH), and created a reimbursable state mandate as a result.

> In addition to categorical funds for AB 3632 services, counties mental health agencies utilize Medi-Cal funds for eligible students and have also received some reimbursements through the state mandate claiming process.

The Governor's proposal to suspend the AB 3632 mandate would make K-12 schools responsible for these services. The Governor does not recognize this shift in the K-12 budget.

Item 4440------ Page 18

3790 Department of Parks and Re		
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
3790-001-0001 Department of Parks and Re 3790-001-0392	ecreation	
Funding State Parks. The Governor's Budget assumed approval of a new oil lease in state-controlled waters at Tranquillon Ridge (T-Ridge), and proposed to use the increased oil revenues to: (1) backfill \$22 million in previous GF reductions to the Department of Parks and Recreation (Parks); and (2) supplant \$118 million GF annually. This proposed total (\$140 million) is consistent with Fiscal Year (FY) 08-09 Parks funding levels, and would avoid any major park closures.	140,000 GF	 The May Revise reverses the cuts agreed to last year, and in so doing would foreclose on further Parks service reductions and/or closures. If the Committee takes no action, Budget Bill Language (BBL) in the Governor's Budget would still allow the Governor (via Department of Finance) to transfer up to \$140 million GF to fully fund Parks.
In the May Revise, the Governor abandons the T- Ridge proposal and instead proposes to "fully fund" Parks with \$140 million GF.		

Last year, the Governor and Legislature agreed to a half-year reduction of \$8 million in FY 09-10, and a full-year reduction of \$16 million in FY 10-11. The Governor subsequently vetoed another \$6 million in FY 09-10. Currently, 150 parks have reduced hours.

Item 3790------ Page 19

2660 Department of Transportation	n	
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
2660-401 Department of Transportation	n	
Defer Transportation Loan Repayment. In the May Revision, the Governor proposes to defer repayment of transportation loans made in 2008-09. The loans would be repaid in 2011-12 instead of 2010-11. This reduces General Fund costs by \$247.4 million in 2010-11 (\$230.6 million principal and \$16.7 million interest).	(-\$16,749	in this case, the loans must be repaid no later than
 The 2008-09 loan included all the following special funds: State Highway Account (\$200 million) Bicycle Transportation Fund (\$6.0 million) Local Airport Loan Account (\$7.5 million) Motor Vehicle Fuel Account (\$8.0 million) Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Fund (\$4.4 million) Historic Property Maintenance Fund (\$3.0 million) Pedestrian Safety Account (\$1.7 million) 		

Item 2660------ Page 20

2660 Department of Transportation	on		
Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments	
2660-011-0062 Department of Transportation	on		
New Transportation Loan from net new "Fuel Swap" revenue. In the May Revision, the Governor proposes to loan the net new 2010-11 highway revenue of \$650	-\$650,000	and must be repaid within three fiscal years from the date on which the loan was made.	
million from the "Fuel Swap" legislation (AB X8 6, AB X8 9, SB 70 – all statutes of 2010) to the General Fund.		Since the Fuel Swap held this \$650 million in reserve, no projects have been programmed or designated for this revenue.	
The Fuel Swap generated new gasoline excise tax revenue to pay eligible general-obligation bond debt service, and to fully backfill highways and local street and road programs for lost Proposition 42 revenue. Net new revenue is generated beyond			
those first two purposes, which the legislation holds			

Item 2660	Page	22
	- MBC	

in reserve in 2010-11 (the \$650 million) and

repayment by June 30, 2013, with interest.

and thereafter).

allocates to highways and local roads (in 2011-12

The proposal includes budget language requiring

Governor's Proposal	2010-11 (\$ in thousands)	Comments
2740-012-0044 Department of Motor \	Vehicles	
Motor Vehicle Account Loan to General Fu In the May Revision, the Governor proposes a \$250 million loan from the Motor Vehicle Acc (MVA) to the General Fund.	l	Pursuant to Article XIX of the California Constitution this loan must be repaid within three fiscal years from the date on which the loan was made.
The \$250 million loan amount is somewhat dependent on savings assumed in the employe compensation area from the Governor's "5/5/5 proposal (five percent pay reduction, five perc staffing reduction, and five percent increase in employee retirement contributions).	5" cent	If the Committee adopts this loan, it should be with the understanding that the employee compensation proposals will be acted on separately. If employee compensation savings do not occur at these levels, or the MVA otherwise faces pressure, the loans may have to be reduced or repaid at an earlier date.
The proposal includes budget bill language din early repayment if necessary to maintain the solvency of the fund.	recting	Note on separate MVA transfer: The Administration also proposes a \$72 million MVA transfer to the General Fund. This action was taken in Sub 2 on April 15. To the extent there are any technical differences, the Sub 2 action should conform to the May Revision.