

Senate Committee on Governmental Organization
Informational Hearing
Tribal-State Gaming Compact Between the State of California and the Dry Creek
Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians
September 6, 2017 – 9:00 a.m.
Room 4203 State Capitol

Compact Overview

SUMMARY

The Tribal-State Gaming Compact (hereafter “Compact”) between the State of California and the Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians (hereafter “Tribe”) was executed on August 18, 2017.

The Compact authorizes the Tribe to operate up to 1,200 slot machines at no more than two gaming facilities located on eligible Indian lands held in trust for the Tribe, and located within the boundaries of the Tribe’s Reservation. If the tribe chooses to operate more than one gaming facility, then one of the two gaming facilities shall have no more than 500 slot machines and shall have a primary purpose other than gaming.

Currently, the Tribe is not required to make payments to the Special Distribution Fund (SDF). Under this compact, the Tribe has agreed to pay the State its pro rata share of costs the State incurs for the performance of its duties under the Compact.

In recognition of the needs of the Tribe’s more than 1,000 tribal members and the existence of binding and enforceable agreement with the County of Sonoma (County), the Compact does not include an obligation for the Tribe to pay any amount into the Revenue Sharing Trust Fund (RSTF) or the Tribal Nation Grant Fund (TNGF) if it operates less than 1,200 slot machines. However, the Compact does include a provision that would allow the Tribe, after negotiations with the State, to increase the number of slot machines to no more than 1,500. If such an increase occurs, the Tribe shall be required to pay in to the RSTF or TNGF a specified amount, or a percentage of the Net Win from Class III slot machines not to exceed 2%. The Tribe currently pays approximately \$500,000 to the RSTF.

According to the Governor’s Office, certain terms of the Compact related to licensing, regulatory, environmental mitigation, patron protection, labor, public health and safety provisions are substantially the same as recent compacts.

The Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the Dry Creek Rancheria and Sonoma County established a mutually agreeable process to identify and mitigate potential off-reservation environmental impacts of future Tribal economic development projects,

including on-reservation gaming projects that meets or exceeds the processes required under the Compact. The MOA created a framework for building and maintaining a mutually beneficial government-to-government relationship between the Tribe and the County and identified ways for the Tribe and the County to work together to provide services and benefits to the Tribal community and Sonoma County residents. The Tribe's MOA with the County is recognized in all areas where it would be applicable to the gaming facility.

Currently, the Tribe operates the River Rock Casino (Casino) located in Sonoma County. The Casino currently includes approximately 1,100 slot machines. Once effective (legislative ratification and federal approval required), this Compact will be in full force and effect for 25 years following the effective date.

EXISTING LAW

Existing law provides, under IGRA, for the negotiation and conclusion of compacts between federally recognized Indian tribes and the state for the purpose of conducting Class III gaming activities on Indian lands within a state as a means of promoting tribal economic development, self-sufficiency, and strong tribal governments. Existing law expressly authorizes a number of tribal-state gaming compacts between the State of California and specified Indian tribes.

Existing law authorizes the conduct of Class III gaming activities to the extent such activities are permitted by state law, a gaming compact has been concluded by a federally recognized tribe and the state, and the compact has been approved by the Secretary of the Interior.

Existing law limits the operation of Class III gaming activities to Indian lands acquired on or before October 17, 1988. Existing law also provides for certain exceptions to conduct gaming activities on Indian lands acquired after October 17, 1988.

Existing law defines Indian lands to mean all lands within the limits of any Indian reservation, and any land which is either held in trust by the United States for the benefit of any Indian tribe or individual, or held by any Indian tribe or individual subject to restriction by the United States against alienation and over which an Indian tribe exercises governmental power.

Existing law requires the state to negotiate and to conclude a compact in good faith with an Indian tribe having jurisdiction over the Indian lands upon which the Class III gaming activity is to be conducted. Existing law also provides the United States district courts with jurisdiction over any cause of action initiated by a tribal government alleging that the state failed to negotiate in good faith to conclude a compact. Furthermore, existing law prescribes the remedy, mediation supervised by the courts, if it is found that the state failed to negotiate in good faith to conclude a compact.

Existing law authorizes the Governor, under the California Constitution, to negotiate and conclude compacts, subject to ratification by the Legislature.

Brief History and Background – Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians

The Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians is a federally-recognized Indian Tribe located in Sonoma County, California, approximately five miles from the city of Geyserville. The Tribe has a total enrolled population of approximately 1,070 members. Its reservation is comprised of 93 acres in Sonoma County.

According to the Tribe, ancestors of the Pomo people lived in the area of Sonoma, Mendocino, and Lake Counties thousands of years ago. Historically, the Tribe used regional plants and game for food, including clams, fish, and abalone collected on trips to the coast during the summer. But their primary food was acorns which were gathered, stored, and processed throughout the season.

Official recognition of the Tribe occurred in 1915, when the federal government created the Dry Creek Rancheria and named the Tribe the Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians. The Tribe is governed by its Articles of Association, amended September 22, 1979, which established a Board of Directors. The Tribe's current trust land, which includes the 75-acre Dry Creek Rancheria and contiguous tribal trust land, is comprised largely of land that is a hillside. In 2007, the Bureau of Indian Affairs approved an application to take 18-acres of contiguous lands ("the Dugan Parcel") into trust on behalf of the Dry Creek Rancheria. The trust land acquisition became final in 2010, and the Dugan Parcel is held in trust for the benefit of the Tribe.

In 1999, the Tribe and dozens of other Tribes signed Compacts with the State of California. In 2002, the Tribe opened its interim facility. Currently, the Tribe operates the River Rock Casino located in Sonoma County. As previously noted, the Casino currently includes approximately 1,100 slot machines.

Key Provisions of the Compact

Scope of Class III Gaming Authorized: The Tribe is authorized to operate up to 1,200 slot machines, banking or percentage card games, and any devices or games that are authorized under state law to the California State Lottery, provided that the Tribe will not offer such games through use of the Internet unless others in the state not affiliated with or licensed by the California State Lottery are permitted to do so under state and federal law. The Tribe shall not engage in Class III Gaming that is not expressly authorized in the Compact.

Authorized Gaming Facility: The Tribe may establish and operate no more than two gaming facilities and engage in Class III gaming only on eligible Indian lands held in trust for the Tribe, located within the boundaries of the Tribe's reservation. If the Tribe chooses to operate more than one gaming facility, then one of the two gaming facilities shall have no more than 500 slot machines and shall have a primary purpose other than gaming.

Payments to the Special Distribution Fund: The Tribe shall pay to the State, on a pro rata basis, the costs the State incurs for the performance of all its duties under this Compact, as established by the monies appropriated in the annual Budget Act for the performance of their duties under the Class III Gaming Compacts.

Exclusivity: Provides that in the event the exclusive right of Indian tribes to operate Class III gaming in California pursuant to the California Constitution is abrogated by the enactment, amendment, or repeal of a state statute or constitutional provision or the conclusive and dispositive judicial construction of a statute or the State Constitution by a California appellate court after the effective date of this Compact, that gaming devices may lawfully be operated by non-Indian entities, the Tribe shall have the right to terminate this Compact, as specified.

Payments to the RSTF or the TNGF: In recognition of the needs of the Tribe's more than 1,000 tribal members and the existence of binding and enforceable agreement with the County, the Tribe has no obligation to pay any amount into the RSTF or TNGF if it operates less than 1,200 slot machines. However, the Compact includes a provision that would allow the Tribe, after negotiations with the State, to increase the number of slot machines to no more than 1,500. If such an increase occurs, the Tribe shall be required to pay in to the RSTF or TNGF a specified amount, or a percentage of the Net Win from Class III slot machines, not to exceed 2%, from slot machines in excess of 350. The Tribe currently pays approximately \$500,000 to the RSTF.

“Net win” is defined as the drop from slot machines, plus the redemption value of expired tickets, less fills, less payouts, less that portion of the gaming operation's payments to a third-party wide-area progressive jackpot system provider that is contributed only to the progressive jackpot amount.

Additional Compact Components

Gaming Ordinance and Regulations – all gaming activities conducted under this Compact shall, at a minimum, comply with a gaming ordinance duly adopted by the Tribe and approved in accordance with IGRA and all applicable rules, regulations, procedures, specifications, and standards duly adopted by the National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC), the Tribal Gaming Agency, and the State Gaming Agency, and with the provisions of this Compact, as specified.

Prohibitions Regarding Minors – the Tribe shall prohibit persons under the age 18 years from being present in any room or area in which gaming activities are being conducted unless the person is en route to a non-gaming area of the gaming facility. If the Tribe permits the consumption of alcoholic beverages in the gaming facility, the Tribe shall prohibit persons under the age of 21 from purchasing, consuming, or possessing alcoholic beverages. The Tribe shall also prohibit persons under the age of 21 from being present in any room or area in which alcoholic beverages may be consumed.

Licensing Requirements and Procedures – all persons in any way connected with the gaming operation or gaming facility who are required to be licensed or to submit to a background investigation under IGRA, and any others required to be licensed under this Compact, including, without limitation, all gaming employees, gaming resource suppliers, financial sources, and any other person having a significant influence over the gaming operation, must be licensed by the Tribal Gaming Agency. Also, every gaming employee must obtain, and thereafter maintain current, a valid tribal gaming license, as specified.

Inspection and Testing of Gaming Devices – gaming devices will have to be tested, approved and certified by an independent gaming test laboratory or state governmental gaming test laboratory to ensure they are being operated according to specified technical standards. Also, requires the Tribal Gaming Agency to maintain adequate records that demonstrate compliance with software and hardware specifications. The State Gaming Agency would be authorized to annually conduct up to four random inspections of gaming devices in operation to confirm that the devices are operating in conformance with these standards.

Minimum Internal Control Standards (MICS) – the Tribe must conduct its gaming activities pursuant to an internal control system that implements MICS that are no less stringent than those contained in the MICS of the federal National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC) standards, as specified. It requires gaming to operate pursuant to a written internal control system that reasonably assures that assets are safeguarded and accountability over assets is maintained; liabilities are properly recorded and contingent liabilities are properly disclosed; financial records are accurate and reliable; transactions are performed in accordance with the Tribal Gaming Agency's authorization; access to assets is permitted only in accordance with the Tribal Gaming Agency's approved procedures; recorded accountability for assets is compared with actual assets; and, functions, duties and responsibilities are appropriately segregated and performed by qualified personnel. The Tribe is required to provide the CGCC, upon written request, a copy of the independent certified public accountant agreed-upon procedures report conducted annually for submission to the NIGC pursuant to federal law. This report verifies that the gaming operation is in compliance with the NIGC's MICS.

Problem Gambling – the gaming operation must establish a program, approved by the Tribal Gaming Agency, to mitigate pathological and problem gaming by implementing specified measures.

Patron Disputes – the Tribal Gaming Agency must promulgate regulations governing patron disputes over the play or operation of any game, including any refusal to pay to a patron any alleged winnings from any gaming activities that includes specified minimum standards.

Environmental Protections – the 2008 MOA between the Tribe and the County establishes a process by which the Tribe identifies and mitigates off-reservation impacts. To the extent the MOA, including any amendments consented to by the Tribe and the County remains in effect,

the Tribe is not subject to the following provisions. However, in the event the MOA is no longer in effect, the Tribe will be required to prepare a comprehensive and adequate tribal environmental impact report (TEIR), analyzing the potentially significant off-reservation environmental impacts of the project. Failure to prepare the TEIR that satisfies the requirements and standards set forth in this Compact may be deemed to be a breach of this Compact and shall be grounds for issuance of an injunction or other appropriate equitable relief.

Public and Workplace Liability – the Tribe is required to obtain and maintain an employment general liability insurance policy which provides coverage of no less than \$10 million and adopt a Tort Liability Ordinance stipulating that California tort law governs claims.

Compliance Enforcement – it is the responsibility of the Tribal Gaming Agency to conduct on-site gaming regulation and control in order to enforce the terms of this Compact, IGRA, NIGC gaming regulations, state gaming agency regulations, and the gaming ordinance, to protect the integrity of gaming activities and the gaming operation for honesty and fairness, and to maintain the confidence of patrons that tribal governmental gaming in California meets the highest standards of fairness and internal controls. To meet those responsibilities, the tribal gaming agency shall promulgate rules and regulations for these purposes.

Tobacco Provisions – the Tribe agrees to provide a non-smoking area in the gaming facility and to utilize a ventilation system throughout the gaming facility that exhausts tobacco smoke to the extent reasonably feasible under state-of-the-art technology existing as of the date of the construction or significant renovation of the gaming facility, and further agrees not to offer or sell tobacco products, to anyone younger than the minimum age specified in state law to legally purchase tobacco products.

Alcohol Provisions – makes it explicit that the purchase, sale, and service of alcoholic beverages shall be subject to state law – the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

Labor Provisions – provides that the gaming activities authorized by this Compact may only commence after the Tribe has adopted an ordinance identical to the Tribal Labor Relations Ordinance (TRLO), referenced as Appendix C of the Compact, and the gaming activities may only continue as long as the Tribe maintains the ordinance. If the Tribe employs 250 or more persons in a tribal casino facility, then the provisions of the TLRO become effective. The TLRO provides for a secret ballot election and union neutrality. After the certification that 30% of the eligible employees in a bargaining unit have expressed an interest in the union, a notice of election shall be issued and the election shall be concluded within 30 calendar days thereafter. Employees may mail in ballots provided they are received by election day. Union representation requires an affirmative vote of 50% plus one of all votes cast.

Workers' Compensation – the Tribe agrees to participate in the State's workers' compensation program with respect to employees at the casino. All disputes arising from the workers'

compensation laws shall be heard by the State Workers' Compensation Appeals Board pursuant to the California Labor Code. The Tribe acknowledges the jurisdiction of the Board in such manners. In lieu of participation in the State's system, the Tribe may create and maintain a system through self-insurance, which includes specified provisions, including hearings before an independent tribunal. Furthermore, the Tribe agrees that it will participate in the State's unemployment compensation program for providing benefits and unemployment compensation disability benefits to employees at the casino. The Tribe shall withhold all taxes due to the State, except for Tribal members living on the Tribe's reservation, and forward such amounts to the State.

Health and Safety Standards – the Tribe has agreed to adopt and comply with tribal health standards for food and beverage handling that consistent with the State's public health standards. Also, the Tribe has agreed to comply with federal water quality and safe drinking water standards applicable in California. The Tribe must also adopt and comply with federal and state laws forbidding harassment, including sexual harassment, discrimination and retaliation. Furthermore, the Tribe must maintain a \$3 million insurance policy for these purposes and adopt an ordinance that includes a dispute resolution process.

Building Codes and Fire Safety – in order to assure the protection of the health and safety of all gaming facility patrons, guests, and employees, the Tribe shall adopt and shall maintain throughout the term of this Compact, an ordinance that requires any covered gaming facility construction to meet or exceed the applicable codes. Gaming facility construction, expansion, improvement, modification or renovation must also comply with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act.

Emergency Services Accessibility and Possession of Firearms – the Tribe must make reasonable provisions for adequate emergency fire, medical, and related relief and disaster services for patrons and employees. Also, the compact prohibits the possession of firearms by any person in the gaming facility at all times except for federal, state, or local law enforcement personnel, or tribal law enforcement or security personnel, as authorized.

Effective Date – this Compact shall not be effective unless and until all of the following have occurred: The Compact is ratified by statute in accordance with state law and Notice of approval or constructive approval is published in the Federal Register. Once effective, this Compact shall be in full force and effect for 25 years following the effective date. The compact specifies that the Tribe and the State have agreed that if local land use restrictions are determined to be enforceable against the United States and the Tribe by a court of competent jurisdictions in a final, non-appealable determinations, the Tribe and the State agree to enter into good-faith negotiations pursuant to IGRA to amend this Compact to address that circumstance.

Amendment by Agreement – the terms and conditions of this Compact may be amended at any time by the mutual and written agreement of both parties, provided that each party voluntarily

consents to such negotiations in writing. Any amendments to this Compact shall be deemed to supersede, supplant and extinguish all previous understandings and agreements on the subject.

ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Indian Gaming Regulatory Act

In 1988, Congress enacted IGRA to provide a statutory basis for the operation and regulation of gaming on Indian lands. IGRA provides that an Indian tribe may conduct gaming activity on Indian lands if the activity “is not specifically prohibited by federal law and is conducted within a State which does not prohibit such gaming activity.”

IGRA distinguishes between three classes of gaming (Class I, Class II, and Class III) and provides for different forms of regulation for each class. Class I gaming includes “social games” for minor prizes or “traditional forms of Indian gaming.” Class II gaming is defined to include bingo and card games that are explicitly authorized by the laws of the state, or that are not explicitly prohibited by the laws of the state and are played at any location in the State, so long as the card games are played in conformity with those laws and regulations. Class III gaming includes such things as slot machines, casino games, and banked card games such as black jack and baccarat. Class III gaming may only be conducted under terms of a compact negotiated between an Indian tribe and a State.

IGRA was enacted against a legal background in which Indian tribes and individuals generally are exempt from state taxation within their own territory. IGRA provides that with the exception of assessments permitted under the statute, to defray the State’s costs of regulating gaming activity, IGRA shall not be interpreted as conferring upon a State authority to impose any tax, fee, charge, or other assessment upon an Indian tribe to engage in Class III activity. Nor may a State refuse to enter into negotiations based on the lack of authority to impose such a tax, fee, charge, or other assessment.

When a tribe requests negotiations for a Class III compact, IGRA requires the State to negotiate with the Indian tribe in good faith. IGRA provides a comprehensive process to prevent an impasse in compact negotiations, which is triggered when a tribe files suit alleging that the State has refused to negotiate or has failed to negotiate in good faith.

Before 2000, the California Constitution prohibited Class III gaming. In 2000, California voters approved Proposition 1A, which had been proposed by the Governor and passed by the Legislature. Proposition 1A amended the California Constitution to permit the State to negotiate compacts with federally recognized Indian tribes for certain Class III gaming activities. Because non-Indian parties were still forbidden from operating gaming facilities, Proposition 1A granted Indian tribes a “constitutionally protected monopoly on most types of Class III games in California.”

Rincon Decision

The U.S. Supreme Court in July 2011 refused to consider the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals rejecting a Class III Tribal-State Gaming Compact negotiated by then Governor Schwarzenegger with the Rincon Band of Luiseno Mission Indians. The issue of this case's impact on Indian gaming throughout the country has been a topic of great debate.

As noted, IGRA authorizes states to receive compensation for costs related to tribal gaming such as regulation and gaming addiction, and to offset the effects of casinos on surrounding communities. However, states are prohibited from assessing taxes on tribal casino revenues, so unjustified payments to a state's General Fund are no longer permissible unless the tribes are getting something in return for the required payments, such as those authorized by IGRA.

Any payments to the State, above those needed to mitigate impacts of gaming must be in exchange for a benefit deemed "exclusive" to the tribe.

The Rincon Band challenged the legality of California's "second generation" compacts pursuant to which the signatory tribes would be entitled to increase their slot machine count in return for paying percentages of the new slot machine revenue to the state's General Fund. The Ninth Circuit had affirmed a lower court decision that the new financial concessions were nothing more than a state tax on tribal casino revenues which is prohibited by IGRA.

The Rincon Band refused to sign the amended compact which had already been executed by several other tribes choosing instead to demand that it be given the expanded gaming opportunity without making the new financial concessions. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals concluded that a "non-negotiable, mandatory payment of 10% of net win into the State treasury for unrestricted use yields public revenue, and is [therefore] a tax, and that the court was therefore required to consider the State's demand as evidence of bad faith under IGRA's statutes."

The court noted that "the State could rebut the presumption of bad faith by demonstrating that the revenue demanded was to be used for the public interest, public safety, criminality, financial integrity, and adverse economic impacts on existing activities, but the State's need for general tax revenue was insufficient to demonstrate good faith."

Special Distribution Fund

Existing law creates the SDF in the State Treasury for the receipt of revenue contributions made by tribal governments pursuant to the terms of the 1999 model Tribal-State Gaming Compacts and authorizes the Legislature to appropriate money from the SDF for the following purposes: grants for programs designed to address gambling addiction; grants for the support of state and local government agencies impacted by tribal government gaming; compensation for regulatory costs incurred by the CGCC and DOJ in connection with the implementation and administration of compacts; payment of shortfalls that may occur in the RSTF; disbursements for the purpose of

implementing the terms of tribal labor relations ordinances promulgated in accordance with the terms of the 1999 compacts; and any other purpose specified by law. (Pursuant to compact renegotiations that took place with several of the larger gaming tribes during the Schwarzenegger administration, revenue from those tribes is directed into the state General Fund, instead of the SDF.)

Current law establishes a method of calculating the distribution of appropriations from the SDF for grants to local government agencies impacted by tribal gaming. This method includes a requirement that the State Controller, in consultation with the CGCC, deposit funds into County Tribal Casino Accounts and Individual Tribal Casino Accounts based upon a process that takes into consideration whether the county has tribes that pay, or do not pay, into the SDF.

Revenue Sharing Trust Fund

Existing law creates in the State Treasury the RSTF for the receipt and deposit of moneys derived from gaming device license fees that are paid into the RSTF pursuant to the terms of specified tribal-state gaming compacts for the purpose of making distributions to non-compacted California tribes (e.g., federally-recognized non-gaming tribes and tribes that operate casinos with fewer than 350 slot machines). Revenue in the RSTF is available to CGCC, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for making distributions of \$1.1 million annually to non-compact tribes. The RSTF was created as part of the 1999 compacts, which, in conjunction with the passage of Proposition 1A, created gaming compacts with approximately 60 California tribes. Non-compact tribes are considered third-party beneficiaries of the 1999 compacts.

Tribal Nation Grant Fund

This particular fund (referenced in recent compacts) was created to complement the RSTF and provides for the distribution of funds to non-gaming tribes, upon application of such tribes for purposes related to effective self-governance, self-determined community, and economic development. Payments from this fund are intended to be made to non-gaming tribes on a “need” basis, upon application. Payments from the TNGF are expected to begin in 2018.

SUPPORT

California Nations Indian Gaming Association

OPPOSITION

None received

PRIOR AND CURRENT LEGISLATION

SB 626 (Dodd, 2017) would ratify the amendment to the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria, executed on August 18, 2017. (Pending on the Assembly Floor)

AB 174 (Bigelow, 2017) would ratify the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the United Auburn Indian Community, executed on August 18, 2017. (Pending on the Senate Floor)

AB 253 (Bigelow, 2017) would ratify the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians, executed on August 18, 2017. (Pending on the Senate Floor)

AB 1606 (Cooper) would ratify the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Wilton Rancheria, executed on July 19, 2017. (Pending on the Governor's Desk)

AB 1378 (Gray, 2017) would ratify the amendment to the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians executed on August 18, 2017. (Pending on the Senate Floor)

SB 187 (Hall, Chapter 306, Statutes of 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Jamul Indian Village, executed August 4, 2016.

SB 404 (De León, Chapter 229, Statutes of 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians, executed on June 22, 2016.

SB 1313 (Hall, Chapter 310, Statutes of 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation, executed on August 4, 2016.

AB 2915 (Garcia, Chapter 240, Statutes of 216) ratified an amendment to the 2006 tribal state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, executed on August 4, 2016.

AB 2358 (Gonzalez, Chapter 298, Statutes 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Indians, executed August 4, 2016.

AB 1977 (Wood, Chapter 296, Statutes of 2016) ratified the first amendment to the 2006 tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Yurok Tribe, executed August 4, 2016.

AB 1767 (Bigelow, Chapter 291, Statutes 2016) ratified first amendment to the 2015 tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Jackson Rancheria Band of Miwuk Indians, executed on June 22, 2016.

AB 1282 (Gray, Chapter 287, Statutes 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Buena Vista Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians, executed on June 28, 2016.

AB 629 (Gonzalez, Chapter 160, Statutes 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Pala Band of Mission Indians, executed on May 6, 2016.

AB 466 (Brown, Chapter 285, Statutes of 2016) ratified an amendment to the 2006 tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians, executed on August 16, 2016.

AB 291 (Atkins, Chapter 284, Statutes of 2016) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Barona Band of Mission Indians, executed on June 22, 2016.

AB 795 (Atkins, Chapter 520, Statutes of 2015) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Sycuan Band of Kumeyaay Nation, executed on September 2, 2015.

AB 1540 (Gray, Chapter 531, Statutes of 2015) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians, executed on August 26, 2015.

AB 315 (Bigelow, Chapter 512, Statutes of 2015) ratified the amended and restated tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the United Auburn Indian Community, executed on August 14, 2015.

AB 475 (Bigelow, Chapter 8, Statutes of 2015) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and Jackson Rancheria Band of Miwuk Indians, executed on February 1, 2015.

SB 1356 (De León, Chapter 314, Statutes of 2014) ratified the amendment to the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians, executed on August 12, 2014.

SB 1224 (Correa, Chapter 300, Statutes of 2014) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Karuk Tribe, executed on December 4, 2013.

AB 1245 (V. Manuel Perez, Chapter 462, Statutes of 2013) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Ramona Band of Cahuilla Indians located in Riverside County, executed on June 10, 2013.

AB 277 (Hall, Chapter 51, Statutes of 2013) ratified two new compacts entered into between the State of California and the following tribes: North Fork Rancheria, executed on August 31, 2012 and the Wiyot Tribe, executed on March 20, 2013.

AB 1267 (Hall, Chapter 6, Statutes of 2013) ratified the amended tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, executed on November 15, 2012.

SB 668 (Fuller, Chapter 67, Statutes of 2013) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Fort Independence Indian Community of Paiute Indians, executed on February 28, 2013.

AB 517 (Hall, Chapter 12, Statutes of 2012) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria of Sonoma County, executed on March 27, 2012.

AB 787 (Chesbro, Chapter 340, Statutes of 2012) ratified the amendment to the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians, executed on July 25, 2012.

AB 1418 (Hall, Chapter 412, Statutes of 2011) repealed those provisions ratifying the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and Pinoleville Pomo Nation, executed on March 9, 2009 and instead ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Pinoleville Pomo Nation, executed on August 8, 2011. Ratification of this revised compact authorized the Tribe to operate up to 900 slot machines with up to 15% of the casino's net win from the slots designated for local communities, gambling mitigation and regulation, instead of requiring revenue contributions be made to the General Fund as provided by the 2009 compact.

AB 1020 (Chesbro, Chapter 27, Statutes of 2011) repealed the ratification of the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Habematolel Pomo of Upper Lake, executed on September 2, 2009, and instead ratified a new tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Habematolel Pomo of Upper Lake, executed on March 17, 2011. Ratification of this revised compact authorized the Tribe to operate up to 750 slot machines with up to 15 percent of the net-win from those gaming devices being paid to the SDF and the RSTF, instead of requiring revenue contributions be made to the General Fund as provided by the 2009 compact.

SB 89 (Budget Committee, Chapter 1, Statutes of 2010) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Habematolel Pomo of Upper Lake, executed on September 2, 2009.

AB 122 (Coto, Chapter 3, Statutes of 2009) ratified the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Pinoleville Pomo Nation, executed on March 10, 2009.

AB 3072 (Price, Chapter 334, Statutes of 2008) ratified the first amendment to a tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, executed on June 30, 2008.

SB 106 (Wiggins, Chapter 37, Statutes of 2007) ratified a new compact between the State of California and the Yurok Tribe of the Yurok Reservation.

SB 174 (Ducheny, Chapter 39, Statutes of 2007) ratified the first compact amendment to the compact between the State of California and Sycuan.

SB 175 (Ducheny, Chapter 38, Statutes of 2007) ratified the first compact amendment to the compact between the State of California and Morongo.

SB 903 (Padilla, Chapter 40, Statutes of 2007) ratified the first compact amendment to the compact between the State of California and Pechanga.

SB 941 (Padilla, Chapter 226, Statutes of 2007) ratified the first compact amendment to the compact between the State of California and San Manuel.

SB 957 (Torlakson, Chapter 41, Statutes of 2007) ratified the first compact amendment to the compact between the State of California and Agua Caliente.

SB 470 (Ducheny, Chapter 527, Statutes of 2006) ratified the first amendment to the compact between the State and the Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation.

SB 1117 (Burton, Chapter 856, Statutes of 2004) ratified two new and two amended compacts entered into between the State and the following tribes: Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians (new compact); Fort Mojave Indian Tribe (new compact); Buena Vista Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians (amended compact); and Ewiiapaayp Band of Kumeyaay Indians (amended compact).

AB 687 (Nuñez, Chapter 91, Statutes of 2004) ratified amendments to five compacts entered into between the State and the following tribes: Pala Band of Mission Indians; Pauma Band of Luiseno Mission Indians of the Pauma and Yuima Reservation; Rumsey Band of Wintun Indians; United Auburn Indian Communities; and, Viejas Group of Kumeyaay Indians. Also, provided for the issuance of bonds in an amount not to exceed \$1.5 billion by the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank and required the net proceeds of the sale of the

compact assets to be deposited in the Traffic Congestion Relief Fund and the Transportation Deferred Investment Fund.

SB 930 (Burton, Chapter 802, Statutes of 2003) ratified a compact between the State of California and the Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians.

SB 411 (Ducheny, Chapter 790, Statutes of 2003) ratified compacts between the State of California and the La Posta Band of Diegueno Mission Indians and the Santa Ysabel Band of Diegueno Mission Indians in San Diego County.

Proposition 1A (Adopted by the People of California on March 7, 2000) modified the prohibition against casinos and lotteries in the California Constitution to authorize the Governor to negotiate compacts, subject to legislative ratification, for the operation of slot machines, lottery games, and banking and percentage card games by federally recognized Indian tribes on Indian lands in California, in accordance with federal law. Authorized slot machines, lottery games, and banking and percentage card games to be conducted and operated on Indian lands subject to the compacts.

AB 1385 (Battin, Chapter 874, Statutes of 1999) designated the Governor as the state officer responsible for negotiating and executing compacts between the state and federally recognized Indian tribes located in the state. Also, ratified 57 compacts and created two special funds in the State Treasury (SDF and RSTF), as specified.

SB 287 (Burton, Chapter 409, Statutes of 1998) ratified 11 compacts negotiated between the State of California and Indian tribes that permitted Class III video gaming devices on tribal lands and established a process for ratifying other compacts.