DOE ARRA Dashboard - Contract Expenditure Forecast Report

Data Thru 9/30/2011

		Expenditures					Unit Production						
Agency	Contract Amt	YTD Expendi	tures	Unexpended F (A)	unds	Projected Balance at End of Contract Period (B)	YTD Units	Current Average Monthly Production [C]	Total Projected Units to Spend Out Full Grant (D)	Onits Required Between Oct 11 - Mar 12 to Spend Out (E)	Projected Monthly Units Needed to Spend Out (F)	Monthly Production Increase Needed (3) (G)	
ATCAA (2)	\$1,622,989	\$1,045,443	64%	\$577,546	36%	\$247,406	357	18.79	554	197	33	14	75%
Berkeley	\$235,037	\$190,189	81%	\$44,848	19%	\$0	77	5.13	95	18	3	-	0%
Butte	\$2,023,378	\$1,585,558	78%	\$437,820	22%	\$0	608	30.40	776	168	28	-	0%
CAPOC	\$7,339,795	\$5,572,927	76%	\$1,766,868	24%	\$94,990	1,785	89.25	2,351	566	94	5	6%
CESC	\$396,839	\$156,198	39%	\$240,641	61%	\$6,344	45	11.25	114	69	12	0	3%
CCES	\$3,794,534	\$3,344,974	88%	\$449,560	12%	\$0	1,063	55.95	1,206	143	24	-	0%
CCES - Santa Clara (1)	\$2,211,102	\$823,353	37%	\$1,387,749	63%	\$152,720	67	16.75	180	113	19	2	12%
Contra Costa	\$4,554,300	\$3,270,453	72%	\$1,283,847	28%	\$193,696	966	53.67	1,345	379	63	10	18%
CRP*	\$9,459,252	\$5,588,188	59%	\$3,871,064	41%	\$2,274,439	1,047	49.86	1,772	725	121	71	<mark>142%</mark>
CRP - San Mateo	\$1,843,133	\$750,019	41%	\$1,093,114	59%	\$771,677	150	10.71	369	219	36	26	240%
C-SET	\$5,400,529	\$4,212,841	78%	\$1,187,688	22%	\$0	944	49.68	1,210	266	44	-	0%
CUI	\$5,789,523	\$4,388,393	76%	\$1,401,130	24%	\$147,303	2,047	97.48	2,701	654	109	11	12%
CVOC	\$2,843,429	\$2,013,140	71%	\$830,289	29%	\$226,347	756	37.80	1,068	312	52	14	37%
Del Norte Sr. Ctr. (5)	\$246,601	\$238,851	97%	\$7,750	3%	-	49	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno (2)	\$11,118,271	\$7,652,496	69%	\$3,465,775	31%	\$1,170,026	4,606	230.30	6,692	2,086	348	117	51%
Glenn (2)	\$935,356	\$709,907	76%	\$225,449	24%	\$1,268	123	6.47	162	39	7	0	1%
IMACA	\$653,567	\$441,397	68%	\$212,170	32%	\$35,611	100	6.67	148	48	8	1	20%
Kern	\$5,624,362	\$4,348,391	77%	\$1,275,971	23%	\$33,574	2,695	128.33	3,486	791	132	3	3%
Kings	\$1,014,572	\$737,680	73%	\$276,892	27%	\$55,588	202	10.10	278	76	13	3	25%
LADWP*	\$8,532,715	\$3,474,587	41%	\$5,058,128	59%	\$2,079,911	429	61.29	1,054	625	104	43	<mark>70%</mark>
Lassen	\$502,147	\$297,254	59%	\$204,893	41%	\$115,717	92	4.60	155	63	11	6	130%
MAAC	\$2,321,199	\$1,554,093	67%	\$767,106	33%	\$323,079	437	20.81	653	216	36	15	73%
Maravilla*	\$12,454,927	\$7,803,843	63%	\$4,651,084	37%	\$2,049,803	4,852	269.56	7,744	2,892	482	212	<mark>79%</mark>
Mariposa (2)	\$315,868	\$154,030	49%	\$161,838	51%	\$100,226	103	6.87	211	108	18	11	163%
Merced (2)	\$4,194,203	\$3,338,262	80%	\$855,941	20%	\$0	735	35.00	923	188	31	-	0%
NCES	\$7,866,768	\$6,218,586	79%	\$1,648,182	21%	\$0	2,802	133.43	3,545	743	124	-	0%
Nevada	\$996,975	\$609,646	61%	\$387,329	39%	\$184,114	154	8.56	252	98	16	8	91%
Oakland*	\$3,981,177	\$743,077	19%	\$3,238,100	81%	\$2,346,408	91	18.20	488	397	66	48	<mark>263%</mark>
PACE	\$7,209,134	\$5,420,014	75%	\$1,789,120	25%	\$240,545	2,109	100.43	2,805	696	116	16	16%
PACE - Area A	\$1,008,462	\$124,544	12%	\$883,918	88%	\$510,286	50	25.00	405	355	59	34	137%
Plumas	\$649,161	\$432,797	67%	\$216,364	33%	\$86,525	113	5.65	169	56	9	4	67%
Project GO	\$1,023,061	\$710,512	69%	\$312,549	31%	\$99,395	242	12.10	348	106	18	6	47%
Project GO - El Dorado	\$461,021	\$275,827	60%	\$185,194	40%	\$47,281	60	5.00	100	40	7	2	34%
Redwood	\$2,875,873	\$2,358,008	82%	\$517,865	18%	\$0	1,004	47.81	1,224	220	37	-	0%
Redwood - Del Norte	\$120,953	\$3,579	3%	\$117,374	97%	\$117,374	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%

DOE ARRA Dashboard - Contract Expenditure Forecast Report

Data Thru 9/30/2011

		Expenditures					Unit Production						
Agency	Contract Amt	YTD Expenditures		Unexpended Funds (A)		Projected Balance at End of Contract Period (B)	YTD Units	Current Average Monthly Production [C]		Onits Required Between Oct 11 - Mar 12 to Spend Out (E)		Prode Incr Nee	nthly uction rease eded (G)
Redwood - Modoc (2)	\$265,567	\$210,476	79%	\$55,091	21%	\$0	48	3.43	61	13	2	-	0%
Riverside*	\$8,806,100	\$6,184,782	70%	\$2,621,318	30%	\$934,559	1,061	48.23	1,511	450	75	27	<mark>55%</mark>
Sacred Heart	\$2,101,384	\$1,522,272	72%	\$579,112	28%	\$0	378	31.50	522	144	24	-	0%
San Berdo*	\$9,180,633	\$4,234,204	46%	\$4,946,429	54%	\$3,791,646	1,509	68.59	3,272	1,763	294	225	328%
San Francisco*	\$2,648,536	\$229,330	9%	\$2,419,206	91%	\$2,419,206	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
San Joaquin	\$5,071,783	\$3,876,739	76%	\$1,195,044	24%	\$0	1,099	61.06	1,438	339	56	-	0%
Santa Barbara (2)	\$1,843,482	\$1,406,242	76%	\$437,240	24%	\$0	692	36.42	907	215	36	-	0%
SHHIP (2)	\$2,962,421	\$2,193,007	74%	\$769,414	26%	\$142,841	560	26.67	756	196	33	6	23%
SLO (2)	\$1,705,751	\$1,348,521	79%	\$357,230	21%	\$0	411	19.57	520	109	18	-	0%
Ventura (2)	\$1,024,103	\$726,475	71%	\$297,628	29%	\$68,215	233	12.26	328	95	16	4	30%
Discontinued Contracts (4)	\$62,535	\$62,503	100%	\$32	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTALS	\$157,292,508	\$102,583,608	65%	\$54,708,900	35%	\$21,068,118	36,951						

Key - High Risk Agencies*

*Defined as providers with contract balances at \$1 million or more as of the August 2011 Dashboard and who had to increase their production by over 50 percent to successfully meet the terms of their contract. See attachment for more information on these 7 providers.

Key - Agency Status

(1) Agency's Projected Balance at End of Contract Period is inaccurate due to front-loaded expenditures associated with program development and ramp-up. A more accurate projection will emerge as an Avg. Expenditure / Unit is established and unit production begins to increase.

(2) Denotes agencies that recently accepted additional ARRA funding re-allocated from other service areas. Breakout provided below.

(3) This projection assumes that the current Average Expenditure Per Unit remains constant through March 2012. Any variance in Average

Expenditure Per Unit will cause this projection to either increase or decrease.

(4) Discontinued Contracts consists of three (3) agencies that were initially granted DOE ARRA start-up funds, but through either agency or CSD decision did not receive further ARRA funding. None of these entities produced weatherized units.

(5) Del Norte is no longer an active DOE ARRA provider but did produce 49 units during Phase I of the ARRA contract.

(2) August Reallocation

5 agencies relinquished funds (~ \$4.3 M): MAAC (\$226,000), Maravilla (\$3,621,742), Project GO - El Dorado (\$250,000), Berkeley (\$142,110) and Redwood (\$50,000)

10 agencies received funds (~ \$4.3 M): SHHIP (\$250,000), Glenn (\$155,000), ATCAA (\$420,000), Fresno (\$2,721,287), Mariposa (\$170,565), Merced (\$150,000), Redwood - Modoc (\$50,000), San Luis Obispo (\$300,000), Santa Barbara (\$45,000) and Ventura (\$28,000)

DOE ARRA Dashboard - Contract Expenditure Forecast Report

Data Thru 9/30/2011

					Unit F	Production				
Agency	Contract Amt	YTD Expenditures	Unexpended Funds (A)	Projected Balance at End of Contract Period (B)	YTD Units	Current Average Monthly Production [C]		Onits Required Between Oct 11 - Mar 12 to Spend Out (E)		Monthly Production Increase Needed (3) (G)
Key - Formulas										
[A] Contract Amount - YTD Ex	[A] Contract Amount - YTD Expenditures									
[B] Unexpended Funds - (Current Average Monthly Production * YTD Average Expenditure Per Unit * Six (6) Months Remaining in Contract)										
[C] YTD Units / # of Production Months										
[D] Contract Amount / YTD Average Expenditure Per Unit										
[E] Unexpended Funds / YTD Average Expenditure Per Unit										
[F] Units Required Between Oct 2011 - Mar 2012 to Spend Out / Six (6) Months Remaining in Contract										
[G] Projected Monthly Units I										